

2014 Town Meeting Grids

(Based on preliminary budgets submitted by the school districts)

This town meeting grid report is based on base education tax rates as proposed and passed by the House in H. 265. The bill awaits deliberation by the Senate.

Proposed and passed by the House (H.265):

Homestead: \$0.94

Non-Residential : \$1.44

Base Education Amount: \$9,151

The above figures are based on the assumption that statewide education spending will increase by 5.5%.

11 : Benson

Proposed FY14 homestead tax rate = \$0.94
Proposed FY14 education payment = \$9,151
Base income percent = 1.80%

FY2014 ESTIMATED NET EDUCATION TAX AMOUNTS FOR A HOUSE AND UP TO TWO ACRES

ESTIMATES ONLY

District: **Benson**

County: **Rutland**

member of: **Fair Haven UHSD**

LEA: **T017**

S.U. : **Addison - Rutland S.U.**

FY2013 compared to prior years

	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2011 Actual	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2012 Actual	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2013 Actual	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2014 Local	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2014 UHS	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2014 UES	Act 68 (Act 130) FY2014 Proposed
Budgeted expenditures	1,776,650	1,714,725	1,830,725	1,787,385	7,959,045		
District education spending per eq. pupil	10,933	11,188	12,061	12,659	14,160		
State average spending per eq. pupil	12,204	12,287	12,789				13,550
Equalized education homestead tax rate				1.3003	1.4545		
Municipal equalized pupil ratios at school districts.....				66.09%	33.91%		
Pro-Rated equalized education homestead tax rates.....	1.1417	1.1787	1.2527	0.8594	0.4932		1.3526
Common Level of Appraisal (CLA)	92.31%	102.62%	101.10%				97.83%
Estimated rates on homestead tax bill	1.2368	1.1486	1.2391	0.8785	0.5041		1.3826
Household income percentage (HIP)	2.39%	2.44%	2.54%	1.65%	0.95%		2.60%

A base homestead tax rate has been passed by the House and awaits Senate discussion and then any resolution of differences. A base rate of \$0.94 has been used for this scenario, but the final base rate may be either higher or lower.

The FY2014 state average is based only on those preliminary budgets submitted to DOE as of 27-Feb-2013.

FY13 HIP used for FY14 tax adjustment calculation

2.54%

The homestead education tax table below estimates the amount taxpayers will pay on their housesite in FY2014.

Education tax amounts shown below apply to a house and up to two acres but do not include any municipal rebates nor additional credits.

1. Find the row with the listed housesite value closest to your housesite value (house and up to two acres).
2. Find the column that most closely approximates your 2012 household income.
3. Where the two intersect is an approximation of the education taxes owed in FY2014 for your housesite.

Listed 2013 Housesite Value	2012 Vermont Household Income									
	30,000	40,000	47,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	105,000
\$ 50,000	503	503	503	691	691	691	691	691	691	691
\$ 75,000	849	849	849	1,037	1,037	1,037	1,037	1,037	1,037	1,037
\$ 100,000	906	1,160	1,195	1,383	1,383	1,383	1,383	1,383	1,383	1,383
\$ 120,000	934	1,188	1,366	1,442	1,659	1,659	1,659	1,659	1,659	1,659
\$ 140,000	963	1,217	1,395	1,471	1,725	1,936	1,936	1,936	1,936	1,936
\$ 160,000	991	1,245	1,423	1,499	1,753	2,007	2,212	2,212	2,212	2,212
\$ 180,000	1,021	1,275	1,453	1,529	1,783	2,037	2,291	2,489	2,489	2,489
\$ 200,000	1,049	1,303	1,481	1,557	1,811	2,065	2,319	2,573	2,765	2,765
\$ 220,000	1,078	1,332	1,510	1,586	1,840	2,094	2,348	2,823	3,042	3,042
\$ 240,000	1,106	1,360	1,538	1,614	1,868	2,122	2,376	3,098	3,318	3,318
\$ 260,000	1,135	1,389	1,567	1,643	1,897	2,151	2,405	3,375	3,595	3,595
\$ 280,000	1,164	1,418	1,596	1,672	1,926	2,180	2,434	3,652	3,871	3,871
\$ 300,000	1,193	1,447	1,625	1,701	1,955	2,209	2,463	3,929	4,148	4,148
\$ 320,000	1,221	1,475	1,653	1,729	1,983	2,237	2,491	4,205	4,424	4,424
\$ 340,000	1,250	1,504	1,682	1,758	2,012	2,266	2,520	4,481	4,701	4,701
\$ 360,000	1,278	1,532	1,710	1,786	2,040	2,294	2,548	4,757	4,977	4,977
\$ 380,000	1,307	1,561	1,739	1,815	2,069	2,323	2,577	5,034	5,254	5,254
\$ 400,000	1,336	1,590	1,768	1,844	2,098	2,352	2,606	5,311	5,530	5,530

Without education tax adjustments, your 2013-2014 tax would be:

\$ 691
\$ 1,037
\$ 1,383
\$ 1,659
\$ 1,936
\$ 2,212
\$ 2,489
\$ 2,765
\$ 3,042
\$ 3,318
\$ 3,595
\$ 3,871
\$ 4,148
\$ 4,424
\$ 4,701
\$ 4,977
\$ 5,254
\$ 5,530

Tax adjustment benefits phase-out for household incomes of approximately \$97,000

The maximum total adjustment is \$8,000. (32 V.S.A. § 6067)

ESTIMATES ONLY, BASED ON DATA SUBMITTED BY DISTRICTS AND CLA REDETERMINATIONS AS OF 27-FEB-2013

Proposed FY14 homestead tax rate = \$0.94
Proposed FY14 education payment = \$9,151
Base income percent = 1.80%

Proposed FY2014 Education Tax Information

ESTIMATES
ONLY

District: **Benson**
S.U.: **Addison - Rutland S.U.**

LEA: **T017** **U016** -
County: **Rutland**

Revised : -		Benson	Fair Haven UHSD	
		Local		
Calculate Education Spending Per Equalized Pupil				
1. Total budgeted expenditures		\$ 1,787,385	\$ 7,959,045	(1)
2. Act 144 expenditures <i>(Construction spending on local education grand list)</i>	-			(2)
3. Expenditures less Act 144 dollars	(line 1) - (line 2)	\$ 1,787,385	\$ 7,959,045	(3)
4. Total local revenues		\$ 393,510	\$ 1,515,065	(4)
5. Dedicated Act 144 revenues	-			(5)
6. Net Act 144 expenditures to raise locally	(line 2) - (line 5)	-		(6)
7. Local revenues less dedicated Act 144 revenues	(line 4) - (line 6)	\$ 393,510	\$ 1,515,065	(7)
8. Initial Education Spending	(line 3) - (line 7)	\$ 1,393,875	\$ 6,443,980	(8)
9. Capital debt hold-harmless aid for pre-Act 60 projects		-	-	(9)
10. Education Spending	(line 8) - (line 9)	\$ 1,393,875	\$ 6,443,980	(10)
11. Equalized pupils at the school district(s)		110.11	455.09	(11)
12. Education Spending per equalized pupil	(line 10) / (line 11)	\$ 12,659	\$ 14,160	(12)
Excess Spending Calculation				
13. All Exclusions		\$ 51,415	-	(13)
14. Eligible exclusions per equalized pupil	(line 13) / (line 11)	\$ 467	-	(14)
15. Adjusted per pupil figure to use for excess spending <i>(less eligible capital costs)</i>	(line 12) - (line 14)	\$ 12,192	\$ 14,160	(15)
16. Excess Spending Threshold		\$ 15,456	\$ 15,456	(16)
17. Per pupil spending above the threshold	(line 15) - (line 16)	-	-	(17)
18. Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment	(line 12) + (line 17)	\$ 12,659	\$ 14,160	(18)
19. District Spending Adjustment	(line 18) / \$9,151	138.334%	154.735%	(19)
Calculation of equalized education tax rates for school districts				
Homestead Tax Rate				
20. Equalized homestead tax rate	(line19) x \$0.940	\$ 1.3003	\$ 1.4545	(20)
Calculation of actual education tax rate for Benson				
21. Benson equalized pupil counts at school districts		110.11	56.50	(21)
22. Total Benson equalized pupils		166.61		(22)
23. Benson equalized pupil ratios at school districts	(line 21) / (line 22)	66.09%	33.91%	(23)
24. Pro-Rated Equalized Tax Rates from school districts for Benson	(line 20) x (line 23)	\$ 0.8594	\$ 0.4932	(24)
25. Total Equalized Tax rate	sum of line 24	\$ 1.3526		(25)
26. Common level of appraisal <i>(ratio of local appraised value to the State determined fair-market value)</i>		97.83%		(26)
27. Pro-Rated Actual Tax Rates from school districts for Benson	(line 24) / (line 26)	\$ 0.8785	\$ 0.5041	(27)
28. Estimated homestead rate on tax bill (May not be valid if town is reappraising) Note: Tax rates shown on lines 25 and 28 DO NOT include any additional tax rate required to pay for Act 144 capital or construction costs (line 6).	sum of line 27	\$ 1.3826		(28)
Non-residential Tax Rate				
29. Equalized non-residential tax rate		\$ 1.440		(29)
30. Non-residential tax rate on tax bill (May not be valid if town is reappraising)	(line 29) / (line 26)	\$ 1.4719		(30)
Calculate income based cap on housesite education tax				
31. Pro-Rated household income percentage.	(line 19) x 1.80% x (line 23)	1.65%	0.95%	(31)
32. Estimated income-based cap on total housesite education tax for FY2014		2.60%		(32)
Local Tax for Act 144 projects				
33. Net Act 144 expenditures to raise locally	-			(33)

Base homestead and non-residential tax rates have been proposed and passed by the House. As proposed, base rate of \$0.94 for homestead and \$1.44 for non-residential properties have been used for this scenario, but the final base rates may be either higher or lower. The Senate has not yet begun deliberations on the bill.